O<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>

# Stereochemistry of Iodine Addition to Acetylenes

Richard A. Hollins\* and Marcos Perv A. Campos<sup>1</sup>

Instituto Militar de Engenharia, Praia Vermelha, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

Received January 17, 1979

We have studied the addition of iodine to a series of acetylenic substrates, including derivatives of propiolic acid, propyne, and phenylacetylene. Configurations of the resulting diiodoolefins were established, using <sup>1</sup>H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR analysis. In all cases, products of configuration E were obtained, and only with alkyl propiolates was there concomitant formation of the Z isomer. Additional evidence supporting the assignments for allylic products was obtained by the interconversion of these products. Application of a simple pairwise additivity of substituent shielding parameters allowed for a better agreement between calculated and observed <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts for various products.

The addition of halogens to acetylenes has been little studied, with reports of iodine addition being particularly sparse despite its discovery and study almost a century ago.<sup>2-6</sup> Although most of the reports in this area have made claims as to the stereochemistry of products obtained, only a few recent works<sup>7-10</sup> do so based upon relatively unambiguous evidence. Research to date has also been very limited in scope, dealing primarily with iodine addition to derivatives of phenylacetylene or propiolic acid. In this paper, we present a more in depth study, both with regard to acetylenic substrate variety and stereochemical structure determination of products obtained.

## **Results and Discussion**

Although several different methods are known for obtaining diiodoolefins, we have concentrated on the direct thermal, noncatalyzed addition of iodine to acetylenes. Reactions were usually effected by heating the acetylene with an excess of iodine in a suitable solvent. Workup by treatment with sodium metabisulfite to remove excess iodine followed by normal purification techniques in most cases led to quantitative yields of products. Table I presents a summary of our results.

Configuration assignments are based principally on comparison of observed <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts with calculated values derived using the additivity of individual substituent shielding increments  $Z_{i}$ ,<sup>11,12</sup>

$$\delta_{\text{calcd}} = \text{base value} + \sum_{i} Z_{i}$$
 (1)

As will be shown later, we have also applied pairwise additivity to obtain better agreement with <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts. Our results both confirm literature affirmations of stereochemistry for known compounds and provide reasonably conclusive assignments for new products presented herein.

Although both (E)- and (Z)-methyl 2,3-diiodoacrylates (4 and 5, respectively) are known,  $^{7,13}$  only the former (E) is reported to result from the direct addition of iodine to methyl propiolate (3).<sup>13</sup> We have observed, however, the simultaneous formation of both isomers (Tables I and II) when the concentration of iodine is kept low by its slow addition to the acetylene 3. Kai and Seki observed E-Zisomerization (resulting E-Z ratio of 5:3) when a sample of 4 was heated at its boiling point for 1 min, and the question was raised as to the possible formation of 5 in our reaction via subsequent thermal rearrangement of initially formed 4. To test this possibility, we heated, at reflux, solutions of 4 and iodine in o-dichlorobenzene (20 h) and in chloroform (96 h). In o-dichlorobenzene, 4 was indeed



I2, CHCI3 or 0-Cl2C6H4 (reflux) HC = CCO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> CHCia (reflux) 0-CloCeHa (reflux) Scheme II HC≡CCH2OH

Scheme I



observed to isomerize to the Z isomer (44%), and this could account for most of product 5 produced (48%) upon iodination of 3 in this solvent. After prolonged heating (96 h), this mixture reaches an equilibrium E-Z ratio of about 2:3. In chloroform, however, no interconversion occurred, and thus the Z isomer appears to be a primary product under these conditions (Scheme I). Ethyl propiolate also forms both E and Z products (Table I), whereas propiolic acid only led to (E)-2,3-diiodoacrylic acid (2) (Tables I and III), even at higher temperatures and low iodine concentrations.

Propargyl alcohol (11), acetate (13), and bromide (15) all lead to products of the same stereochemistry (12, 14, and 16, respectively), as evidenced by their interconversion (Scheme II). The assignment of configuration E was based

- (1) CNPq Fellow, 1975–1977.
   (2) B. Homolka and F. Stolz, Chem. Ber., 18, 2282 (1885).
   (3) C. Liebermann and H. Sachse, Chem. Ber., 24, 4112 (1891).
   (4) J. V. Nef, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 308, 323 (1899).

- (4) 5. V. Per, Jasta's Leorgs Ann. Chem., 308, 023 (1959).
  (5) P. Bruck, Chem. Ber., 26, 843(1893).
  (6) A. Peratoner, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 22, 2, 65–94 (1892).
  (7) F. Kai and S. Seki, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 14 (10), 1122–1133 (1966).
  (8) C. E. Castro, E. Gaughan, and D. Owsley, J. Org. Chem., 30, 100 (1996). 587(1965)
- (19) K. Andersson, Chem. Scr., 2, 113, 117 (1972).
   (10) S. Uemura, H. Okazaki, A. Onoe, and M. Okano, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 676(1977).
- (11) S. Matter, J. Pasqual, and P. Simon, Tetrahedron, 25, 691 (1969). (12) E. Lippmaa, T. Pehk, K. Andersson, and C. Rappe, Org. Magn.
   Reson., 2, 109 (1970).
   (13) K. Ingold, J. Chem. Soc., 1203 (1925).

				A 00 1	- 110-0		
acetylene							
		X	Y	solvent	time, h <sup>a</sup>	product(s)	yield, % <sup>b</sup>
	1	Н	CO,H	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	20	(E)-2,3-diiodoacrilic acid (2)	100
	3	Н	CO,CH,	CHCL	20	methyl $(E)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(4)$	100
	3	н	COCH	CHCI <sup>c</sup>	96	methyl $(E)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(4)$	62
			2 5	2		methyl $(Z)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(5)$	38
	3	н	CO.CH.	o-Cl <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>4</sub> <sup>c</sup>	20	methyl $(E)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(4)$	52
			2 3	2 0 4		methyl $(Z)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(5)$	48
	6	Н	CO.CH.CH.	CHCl.	96	ethyl(E)-2.3-diiodoacrylate (7)	87
			2 2 - 3	- · · - 3		ethyl $(Z)$ -2,3-diiodoacrylate $(8)$	13
	9	CO.CH.	CO.CH.	o-Cl.C.H.	20	dimethyl diiodofumarate (10)	100
	11	H	CH.OH	CHCI.	5	$(E)$ - $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dijodoallyl alcohol (12)	100
	13	н	CH.OAc	CHCI.	10	$(E)$ - $\alpha$ . $\beta$ -dijodoallyl acetate (14)	100
	15	н	CH.Br	CHCI.	48	$(E)$ - $\alpha$ . $\beta$ -dijodoallyl bromide (16)	100
	17	H	C.H.	CHCL	10	$(E)$ - $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -dijodostvrene (18)	100
	19	С.н.	C≡CC.H.	o-Cl.C.H.	80	(E, E)-1.4-diphenvl-1.2.3.4-	$62^e$
		- 0 5	5	- 2-64		tetraiodobutadiene $(20)^d$	

Table I. Iodination of Acetylenes

			Ι,		
X	C≡C	Υ	_ <u>_</u> →	IXC=	CYI

<sup>a</sup> All reactions were carried out at reflux. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>i</sup>H NMR. <sup>c</sup> Slow addition of iodine; see text. <sup>d</sup> Tentative assignment; see text. <sup>e</sup> Isolated yield.

#### Table II. <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts of Methyl 2,3-Diiodoacrylates

HIC=CICO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>

		δ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>α</sub> <sup>a</sup>			$\delta^{13}\mathbf{C}_{\beta}{}^{a}$		δ <sup>1</sup> Η (v	inyl protons) <sup>a</sup>
compd	$calcd^b$	calcd <sup>c</sup>	obsd	$calcd^b$	calcd <sup>c</sup>	obsd	$calcd^d$	obsd
(E)-4	95.0	85,8	85.55	83.0	86.5	87.34	7.75	7.72 (7.62)
(Z)-5	104.0	102.9	110.04	87.0	103.6	112.18	8.45	9.05 (9.01)

<sup>a</sup> Relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si (ppm). <sup>b</sup> By the method of Lippmaa et al. See ref 12. <sup>c</sup> Pairwise (I<sub>2</sub>), using <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of  $H_2C=CHCO_2CH_2CH_3$  for the base value. See ref 16. <sup>d</sup> See ref 11. <sup>e</sup> Chemical shifts observed for corresponding ethyl esters.

Table III.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR Chemical Shifts of Substituted
	Diiodoethylenes

HIC=CI	R
--------	---

		δ¹H	(vinyl pro	oton) <sup>a</sup>
R		calcd <sup>b</sup>	obsd	$\Delta \delta^{c}$
CO <sub>2</sub> H	<i>"E"</i>	7.91	8.05 <sup>d</sup>	0.14
	"Z"	8.68	$9.32^{d}$	0.64
CH,OH	$E^{*}$	7.18	7.01	0.17
-	$^{\prime\prime}Z^{\prime\prime}$	7.26		(0.25)
CH <sub>2</sub> Br	" $E$ "	7.16	7.09	0.07
2	" $Z$ "	7.38		(0, 29)
CH,OAc	E'	7.18	7.10	<b>`0.08</b> ´
4	" $Z$ "	7.26		(0.16)
C.H.	``E''	7.13	7.19	0.06
0 3	"Z"	7.63		(0.44)

<sup>a</sup> Relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si (ppm). <sup>b</sup> See ref 11. <sup>c</sup>  $[\delta_{calcd} - \delta_{obsd}]$ ; values in parentheses represent  $[\delta_{calcd_Z} - \delta_{obsd_E}]$ . <sup>d</sup> See ref 7.

upon comparison of their observed and calculated <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts<sup>11</sup> (Table III) and the reduction of (E)-2,3-diiodoacrylic acid (2) with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBALH) to (E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -diiodoallyl alcohol (12), identical with the product obtained from the iodination of propargyl alcohol.

Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (9), when treated with iodine, gives in quantitative yield the same product (10) reported by Bruck in 1893.<sup>5</sup> We have confirmed Bruck's unsubstantiated assignments of 10 as the dimethyl diiodofumarate. The IR spectrum of 10 is strikingly similar to that of dimethyl fumarate and lacks absorption for the inactive C=C stretch as expected, but more convincing evidence was obtained from analysis of its <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. Using the empirical technique of Lippmaa et

# Table IV.Vinyl <sup>13</sup>C Chemical Shifts<sup>a</sup> for<br/>Dimethyl Diiodofumarate

CH <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> CIC=CICO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>						
config	calcd <sup>b</sup>	calcd <sup>c</sup>	obsd			
E	96.7	88.5	90.51			
Z	101.7	101.9				

<sup>*a*</sup> Relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si (ppm). <sup>*b*</sup> By method of Lippmaa et al. See ref 12. <sup>*c*</sup> Pairwise (I and CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) calculation; see text.

al. <sup>12,14</sup> for calculating <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts, we achieved qualitative agreement with the observed vinyl carbon shift. Applying the following justifiable<sup>15</sup> and intuitively more satisfying pairwise–substituent approach, however, we obtained considerably better agreement with the observed value:

 $\delta[(Z)-\text{IHC}-\text{CHI (96.5 ppm)}] - \delta[\text{H}_2\text{C}-\text{CH}_2 (123.3 \text{ ppm})] = -26.8 \text{ ppm} = Z_{((Z)-I)} (2)$ 

 $\delta[(E)-\text{IHC}=\text{CHI} (79.4 \text{ ppm})] - \delta[\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2 (123.3 \text{ ppm})] = -43.9 \text{ ppm} = Z_{((E)-\text{I})} (3)$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \delta[(Z)\text{-}CH_3O_2CHC = CHCO_2CH_3 \ (128.7 \ \text{ppm})] - \\ \delta[H_2C = CH_2 \ (123.3 \ \text{ppm})] = +5.4 \ \text{ppm} = Z_{((Z)\text{-}CO_2CH_3)} \\ (4) \end{array}$$

 $\delta[(E)-CH_3O_2CHC \longrightarrow CHCO_2CH_3 (132.4 \text{ ppm})] - \\\delta[H_2C \implies CH_2 (123.3 \text{ ppm})] = +9.1 \text{ ppm} = Z_{((E)-CO_2CH_3)}$ (5)

 <sup>(14)</sup> We also included an additional deshielding factor of 2.1 ppm in accordance with the observed <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift differences between fumaric and maleic acids and their respective dimethyl esters. See ref 16.
 (15) E. Malinowski, T. Vladimiroff, and R. Tavares, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 2046 (1966).

Stereochemistry of Iodine Addition to Acetylenes



Again applying eq 1, where this time the base value is 123.3 ppm ( $\delta[(H_2C=CH_2])$ , and pairing  $Z_{((Z)-I}$  with  $Z_{((Z)-CO_2CH_3)}$  and  $Z_{((E)-I)}$  with  $Z_{((E)-CO_2CH_3)}$ , we obtained the values presented in Table IV. A similar treatment for the alkyl 2,3-diiodoacrylates also led to a better correlation between calculated and observed shifts (Table II).

Diester 10 is readily transformed into 1.4-disubstituted (E)-2,3-diiodobutenes, as shown in Scheme III. Retention of stereochemistry is assumed by analogy with the configurational stability observed in the reduction of 2 and the transformations of 12 and 16 (Scheme II).

Phenylacetylene (17) reacts quantitatively with iodine, giving (E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -diiodostyrene (18)<sup>6</sup> (Tables I and III), whereas di-p-tolylacetylene (24) proved to be totally unreactive, even at higher temperatures and prolonged reaction times. The failure of 24 to react probably results from steric factors, as it should be electronically similar to phenylacetylene but is lacking the unsubstituted terminus. Although considerably less reactive than phenylacetylene, diphenyldiacetylene (19) furnished 1,4-diphenyl-1,2,3,4-tetraiodobutadiene (20) (Scheme IV).

Both <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra indicate the equivalence of the two double bonds of 20, and by simple analogy with the behavior of phenylacetylene one would tentatively assign the configuration E, E. Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of (E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -diiodostyrene (18) and 20 also leads us to conclude that the configuration of **20** is  $E_{\gamma}E_{\gamma}$ . The <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, and C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>) for 18 are reasonable, considering the very large shielding effect on carbon directly substituted with iodine and a smaller deshielding effect for more remote carbon atoms.<sup>16</sup> In the butadiene derivative 20, the presence of an additional double bond (relative to 18) should lead to a small shielding of  $C_{\alpha}$  and a large deshielding of  $C_{\beta}$ . The presence of iodine substituents in the additional double bond would deshield

Table V. <sup>13</sup>C Chemical Shifts of Styrenes



compd	$\delta^{13}C_1^a$	δ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>α</sub> <sup>a</sup>	δ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>β</sub> <sup>a</sup>
styrene	137.3 <sup>b</sup>	136.7 <sup>b</sup>	$113.2^{b}$
$(\tilde{E})$ - $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -diiodostyrene	142.5	96.2	80.9
(E,E)-1,4-diphenyl-1,2,3,4-	145.5	$100.6^{c}$	105.3°
tetraiodobutadiene <sup>b</sup>			

<sup>a</sup> Relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si (ppm). <sup>b</sup> See ref 19. <sup>c</sup> These assignments may be reversed.

both carbons, especially  $C_{\beta}$ , for which the total extra deshielding in passing from structure 18 to (E,E)-20 should be of the order of magnitude of +20 ppm, in accordance with the observed difference (Table V). If, on the other hand, 20 had configuration Z, Z, a further deshielding of up to 25 ppm might occur, as is noted in the case of the (E)- and (Z)-methyl-2,3-diiodoacrylates, and should lead to chemical shift values much larger than those actually observed.

In studying the halogenation of propiolic acid and derivatives, Berliner and Mauger<sup>17,18</sup> presented evidence for the intermediacy of cyclic or vinyl cations. In our work, however, reaction conditions and, in part, substrates are considerably different, and as such the same mechanism(s) may not be operative. In particular, we think it unlikely that dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate would generate a localized vinyl cation intermediate, being, perhaps, a better candidate for iodonium ion formation or even nucleophilic attack.

## **Experimental Section**

General. Melting points were taken on a Fischer-Johns melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were obtained on Perkin-Elmer 180 and 135 spectrophotometers. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured on a Hitachi Perkin-Elmer R 20B (60 MHz) spectrometer and are reported in parts per million downfield from internal tetramethylsilane. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian CFT-20 spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Varian Mat 111. Analyses were obtained, using a Perkin-Elmer 240 elemental analyzer.

General Procedure for Iodination of Acetylenes at a High Iodine Concentration. Method A. A solution of 0.05 mol of acetylenic substrate and 0.06 mol of iodine in 150 mL of chloroform or o-dichlorobenzene was heated at reflux with stirring until all starting acetylene was consumed, as is evidenced by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. After cooling, the reaction mixture was washed with a 20% aqueous  $Na_2S_2O_5$  solution and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent was removed at reduce pressure.

General Procedure for Iodination of Acetylenes at a Low Iodine Concentration. Method B. To a stirred solution of 0.05 mol of acetylenic substrate in 150 mL of o-dichlorobenzene or chloroform at reflux were added 0.01-mol portions of iodine such that each portion reacted to completion (disappearance of iodine coloration) before subsequent additions were made. After completion of the reaction, as is evidenced by total consumption of starting acetylene (<sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis), the mixture was cooled, washed with a 20% aqueous  $Na_2S_2O_5$  solution, and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure.

Methyl (E)-2,3-Diiodoacrylate (4). Prepared by method A (chloroform) in 100% yield, the product was recrystallized from methanol, giving pale yellow crystals: mp 37-38 °C (lit.13 mp 37-38 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.72 (s, 1, C=CH), 3.83 (s, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see Table II.

Methyl (Z)-2,3-Diodoacrylate (5). Preparation by method

<sup>(17)</sup> E. Berliner and E. Mauger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 194 (1972).
(18) E. Berliner and S. Ehrlich, J. Am. Chem.Soc., 100, 1525 (1978).
(19) K. S. Dhami and J. B. Stothers, Can. J. Chem., 43, 510 (1965).

<sup>(16)</sup> G. Levy and G. Nelson, "Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Ressonance for Organic Chemists", Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972.

B (chloroform gives a slightly lower percentage of isomer Z but is more easily removed than o-dichlorobenzene) gave a mixture of isomers E and Z (62:38) in 100% yield. An initial recrystallization from methanol caused the precipitation of the E isomer. The mother liquor, enriched in the Z isomer, was evaporated and recrystallized from heptane, producing crystals of both isomers which were separated with a spatula (low temperature) and furnishing a pure sample of 5: mp 2-3 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 9.05 (s, 1, C=CH) and 3.84 (s, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) see Table II. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 14.21; H, 1.18. Found: C, 14.38; H, 1.42.

Ethyl (E)- and (Z)-2,3-Diiodoacrylates (7 and 8). Mixtures of 7 and 8 were prepared by method B, using chloroform (Table I). The isomers were not separated, but C and H analyses were determined for the product mixture (liquid) obtained from the reaction in chloroform (<sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated 13% E, 87% Z, and no impurities). Isomer (E)-7: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.62 (s, 1, C=CH), 4.28 (q, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>, J = 7.5 Hz), and 1.35 (t, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>, J = 7.5 Hz). Isomer (Z)-8: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.01 (s, 1, C=CH), 4.24 (q, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>, J = 7.5 Hz), and 1.31 (t, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>, J = 7.5 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 17.04; H, 1.70. Found: C, 17.34; H, 1.80.

(E)-2,3-Diiodoacrylic Acid (2). Preparation using method A (chloroform) gave a 100% yield of colorless crystals: mp 103.5–105.5 °C (lit.<sup>2</sup> mp 104–106 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.01 (s, 1, C=CH) and 10.4 (s, 1, OH). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 11.13; H, 0.63. Found: C, 11.31; H, 0.66.

(*E*)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -Diiodoallyl Alcohol (12). Obtained by method A (chloroform) in 100% yield, the product was recrystallized from hexane, furnishing colorless crystals: mp 53 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.01 (s, 1, C=CH), 4.26 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>), and 2.69 (s, 1, OH). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O: C, 11.61; H, 1.30. Found: C, 12.28; H, 1.45.

(E)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -Diiodoallyl acetate (14) was obtained by method A (chloroform) in 100% yield as a pale yellow liquid (pure according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis):  $n^{30}_{\rm D}$  1.6171; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.10 (s, 1, C=CH), 4.64 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>), and 2.05 (s, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 17.04; H, 1.70. Found: C, 17.44; H, 1.81.

(E)- $\alpha_{y}\beta$ -Diiodoallyl bromide (16) was obtained by method A (chloroform) in 100% yield as a pale yellow liquid (pure according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis):  $n^{30}_{D}$  1.3010; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.08 (s, 1, C—CH) and 4.28 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>BrI<sub>2</sub>: C, 9.63; H, 1.09. Found: C, 9.69; H, 1.10.

**Dimethyl diiodofumarate** (10) was obtained by method A (o-dichlorobenzene) in 100% yield. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethanol and the product precipitated with water. Recrystallization from methanol-water furnished colorless crystals: mp 125 °C (lit.<sup>5</sup> mp 126 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.82 (s, 6, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR see Table IV; IR (KBr) 1725 (C=O) and 1252 cm<sup>-1</sup>(C-O); mass spectrum m/e 396 (M<sup>+</sup>, 50). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 18.20; H, 1.53. Found: C, 18.30; H, 1.55.

(E)- $\alpha_{s}\beta$ -Diiodostyrene (18). Obtained by method A (chloroform) in 100% yield, the product was recrystallized from methanol, furnishing colorless crystals: mp 76–77 °C (lit.<sup>6</sup> mp 76–77 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29 (s, 5, ArH) and 7.19 (s, 1, C=CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table V; mass spectrum m/e 356 (M<sup>+</sup> 50). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>6</sub>I<sub>2</sub>: C, 27.00; H, 1.70. Found: C, 27.37; H, 1.81.

(*E,E*)-1,2-Diphenyl-1,2,3,4-tetraiodobutadiene (20). The product was obtained by method A (o-dichlorobenzene; o-xylene was also used). After removal of solvent, the dark residue was recrystallized from methanol, giving a 62% yield of colorless crystals: mp 135–136 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34 (s, 10, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR, see Table V. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>10</sub>I<sub>4</sub>: C, 27.07; H, 1.42. Found: C, 27.08; H, 1.58.

**DIBALH Reduction of** (*E*)-2,3-Diiodoacrylic Acid (12). To 1 mL of a 20% benzene solution of DIBALH was added 0.9 g (2.8 mmol) of 2, and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The mixture was then poured into 100 mL of 2-propanol containing 5 drops of water, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was extracted with chloroform, filtered, and evaporated to a solid. Recrystallization from methanol furnished 0.48 g (55%) of colorless crystals (mp 53 °C), identical in all aspects with 12 obtained from the iodination of propargyl alcohol. Acetylation of 12 (14). A mixture of 0.31 g (1 mmol) of 12 and 0.11 g (1.1 mmol) of acetic anhydride in 8 mL of pyridine was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then poured into 100 mL of ice water. The mixture was extracted with 50 mL of chloroform, and the extract was washed with 10% aqueous HCl  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  then with water  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation of the solvent at reduced pressure gave 0.32 g (90%) of a liquid identical in all aspects with 14.

**Reaction of 12 with PBr<sub>3</sub> (16).** A mixture of 4.65 g (15 mmol) of 12 and 1.4 g (5.2 mmol) of PBr<sub>3</sub> in 50 mL of benzene was heated at reflux for 10 h. After cooling, the mixture was poured into 100 mL of ice water and rapidly extracted with 50 mL of chloroform. The extract was washed with cold 10% aqueous  $Na_2CO_3$  (50 mL) and then with 50 mL of water and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After filtration and evaporation of solvent, there was obtained 3.5 g (62%) of a pale yellow liquid identical in all aspects with 16.

**Reaction of 16 with NaOAc (14).** A mixture of 0.37 g (1 mmol) of 16 and 0.09 g (1.2 mmol) of NaOAc in 10 mL of acetic acid was heated at reflux for 24 h. After being cooled, the mixture was poured into 100 mL of water and extracted with 50 mL of chloroform, and the extract was washed with 50 mL of 10% aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> then 50 mL of water and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After filtration and removal of solvent at reduced pressure, there was obtained 0.15 g (44%) of a liquid identical in all aspects with 14.

(E)-2,3-Diiodo-2-butene-1,4-diol (21). A mixture of 7.9 g (20 mmol) of 10 in 10 mL of a 20% benzene solution of DIBALH was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then poured into 500 mL of ethanol (99%). The mixture was filtered and evaporated, furnishing 3.0 g (44%) of colorless crystals: mp 175 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  4.35 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>) and 3.65 (s, 1, OH). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 14.14; H, 1.78. Found: C, 14.40; H, 1.84. Acetylation of 21 (22). A mixture of 1.0 g (2.9 mmol) of 21

Acetylation of 21 (22). A mixture of 1.0 g (2.9 mmol) of 21 and 0.3 g (3 mmol) of acetic anhydride in 10 mL of pyridine was stirred at room temperature for 10 h and then poured into 100 mL of ice water. The mixture was extracted with 50 mL of chloroform, and the extract was washed with 10% aqueous HCl (2 × 50 mL) and then with water (2 × 50 mL) and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was evaporated and the residue recrystallized from hexane, furnishing 0.36 g (28%) of colorless crystals: mp 65–67 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.29 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>) and 3.10 (s, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 22.64; H, 2.35. Found: C, 23.01; H, 2.39.

**Reaction of 21 with PBr<sub>3</sub> (23).** A mixture of 1.0 g (2.9 mmol) of **21** and 0.54 g (2.0 mmol) of PBr<sub>3</sub> in 50 mL of benzene was heated at reflux for 20 h. After being cooled, the mixture was poured into 100 mL of ice water and rapidly extracted with 50 mL of chloroform. The extract was washed with cold 10% aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL) and then with 50 mL of water and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After filtration and removal of solvent at reduced pressure, there were obtained 0.95 g (70%) of colorless crystals: mp 143 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.48 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>: C, 10.32; H, 0.87. Found: C, 10.50; H, 1.08.

Thermal Isomerization of 4. Solutions of 100 mg of 4 and 100 mg of iodine in 2 mL of chloroform or *o*-dichlorobenzene were heated at reflux and then analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. No isomerization occurred in chloroform (96 h). In *o*-dichlorobenzene, the mixture contained about 44% of the Z isomer after 20 h, and after 96 h equilibrium appeared to have been reached with an E-Z ratio of about 2:3.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for their support of this work. We also thank Dr. Paul Baker (NPPN, Rio de Janeiro) for providing the mass spectra.

**Registry No.** 1, 471-25-0; (*E*)-2, 14092-48-9; (*Z*)-2, 14173-06-9; 3, 922-67-8; 4, 71264-45-4; 5, 14092-47-8; 6, 623-47-2; 7, 71264-46-5; 8, 71264-47-6; 9, 762-42-5; (*E*)-10, 18434-84-9; (*Z*)-10, 71264-48-7; 11, 107-19-7; (*E*)-12, 71264-98; (*Z*)-12, 71264-50-1; 13, 627-09-8; (*E*)-14, 71264-51-2; (*Z*)-14, 71264-52-3; 15, 106-96-7; (*E*)-16, 71264-53-4; (*Z*)-16, 71264-54-5; 17, 536-74-3; (*E*)-18, 71022-74-7; (*Z*)-18, 71264-55-6; 19, 886-66-8; 20, 71264-56-7; 21, 62994-00-7; 22, 71264-57-8; 23, 71302-38-0;  $I_2$ , 7553-56-2; styrene, 100-42-5.